

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

lln

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,195	09/04/2003	Alexander E. Andreev	L13.12-0247 / 03-1634	8414
7590 03/20/2007 Leo J. Peters			EXAMINER	
LSI Logic Corporation MS D-106 1621 Barber Lane Milpitas, CA 95035			PATHAK, SUDHANSHU C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/656,195	ANDREEV ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sudhanshu C. Pathak	2611
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		,
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Sept.</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2 and 5-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on Sept. 4 th , 2003 is/are: a	vn from consideration. election requirement.	b by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/656,195

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 9-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101.

In regards to Claims 1-29, the claims discloses a process (method) that manipulates only number, abstract concepts or ideas or representing any of the foregoing, the claims are not being applied to an appropriate subject matter.

In regards to Claim 9 (independent Claim), Claim 9 merely discloses a computer program (functional descriptive steps), producing no practical application, and do not define any structural and functional interrelationship between the computer programs and other claimed elements of a computer which permit the computer program functionality to be realized, thereby producing no tangible, concrete and useful results. (See Pages 52-54 of the Interim Guidelines).

In regards to Claim 15 (independent Claim), the claims call for a seemingly patentable process but in reality seeking patent protection on an abstract idea in the form of a computer program as evidenced by Claim 9.

In regards to Claims 10-14 & 16-20 are inherently rejected as being dependent on above rejected independent claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,195 Page 3

Art Unit: 2611

Specification

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and <u>format</u> for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The title of the application (invention) appears on the Abstract sheet, this is inappropriate and appropriate corrections are recommended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-2, 5-8 (apparatus) & 15-20 (method) & 9-14 (computer-readable medium) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rojas et al. (2004/0015666 A1).

In regards to Claims 1, 7-9, 13-15, 17-19, Rojas discloses a circuit (method) (Fig.

2) having: an input for receiving an input signal containing a plurality of bits at an input frequency and for receiving a representation of desired output frequency (Fig.

Art Unit: 2611

2, element 200, 218 & Paragraph 4) {Interpretation: The reference discloses receiving serial data stream wherein the input frequency is interpreted as the frequency of the transmitter clock which sends the bit stream. The desired output frequency is interpreted as the receiver clock frequency); a splitter for splitting the input signal into a plurality of split signals each at a frequency of the desired output frequency (Fig. 2, elements 202, 206) (Interpretation: The reference discloses a deserializer which is interpreted as a splitter which splits the incoming serial data into a plural parallel data at the desired frequency wherein the splitting of the serialto-parallel inherently changes the frequency of the output parallel data wherein the output frequency is the desired frequency); a plurality of catchers for identifying valid bits of a respective split signal (Fig. 2, elements 210, 212 & Fig. 3, element 308 & Paragraphs 42-44, 47 & Paragraph 67 & Paragraph 70, lines 14-20 & Tables I-V); a shifter for shifting valid bits identified by at least some of the catchers by a predetermined number; and an output responsive to the shifted valid bits to provide an output signal containing a plurality of valid bits of the input signal at the desired output frequency (Paragraphs 37-38, 40, 42-44, 47 & Fig. 3, elements 324, 326 & Paragraph 71-72 & Fig. 2, element 216) {Interpretation: The reference discloses a synchronization unit which is interpreted as a shifter so as to synchronize the clock output from the deserializer (206) with the receiver clock (218) and outputs a plurality of valid bits). Rojas further discloses implementing the receiver in a computer platform with multiple processors (Paragraph 28, lines 9-12 & Paragraph 29, lines 1-2 & Paragraph 31, lines 2-4) (Interpretation: The reference discloses

Application/Control Number: 10/656,195

Art Unit: 2611

implementing the method in a digital components which are controlled by software code}. Furthermore, there is no criticality in the number of split signals and the number of catchers are based on an empirically-derived split factor this is a matter of design choice depending on the input frequency and the desired output frequency and component complexity desired.

Page 5

In regards to Claims 2, 10-12 & 16, Rojas discloses a circuit as described above. Rojas further discloses the output is further responsive to the shifted valid bits to derive the predetermined number (Paragraphs 37-38, 40-44, 50-53 & 71-72).

In regards to Claim 5, Rojas discloses a circuit as described above. Rojas further discloses operating as a phase shifter, wherein the predetermined number is 1 (Paragraph 42, lines 11-21).

In regards to Claims 6 & 20, Rojas discloses a circuit as described above. Rojas further discloses operating as a frequency reducer, wherein the predetermined number is greater than 1 and identifies a width of the output signal (Fig. 2, elements 202) {Interpretation: The reference discloses a deserializer which is interpreted as a splitter which splits the incoming serial data into a plural parallel data at the desired frequency wherein the splitting of the serial-to-parallel inherently changes (reduces) the frequency of the output parallel data wherein the output frequency is the desired frequency}.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,195 Page 6

Art Unit: 2611

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure, it is recommended to the applicant to amend all the claims so as to be patentable over the cited prior art of record. A detailed list of pertinent references is included with this Office Action (See Attached "Notice of References Cited" (PTO-892)).
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sudhanshu C. Pathak whose telephone number is (571)-272-3038. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9am-6pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh M. Fan can be reached on (571)-272-3042.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,195

Art Unit: 2611

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sudhanshu C. Pathak

Examiner Art Unit 2611